arXiv:1511.04676v2 [math.AC] 13 Jan 2016

ON THE STANLEY-REISNER IDEAL OF AN EXPANDED SIMPLICIAL COMPLEX

RAHIM RAHMATI-ASGHAR AND SOMAYEH MORADI

Abstract. Let Δ be a simplicial complex. We study the expansions of Δ mainly to see how the algebraic and combinatorial properties of Δ and its expansions are related to each other. It is shown that Δ is Cohen-Macaulay, sequentially Cohen-Macaulay, Buchsbaum or k-decomposable, if and only if an arbitrary expansion of Δ has the same property. Moreover, some homological invariants like the regularity and the projective dimension of the Stanley-Reisner ideals of Δ and those of their expansions are compared.

Introduction

Let $S = K[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ be a polynomial ring over a field K. Any squarefree monomial ideal I in S can be considered both as the Stanley-Reisner ideal of a simplicial complex $\Delta_I = \{\{x_1, \ldots, x_n\} : \ x_1 \cdots x_n \notin I\}$ and as a facet ideal of the simplicial complex $\Delta' = \langle F : \ x^F$ is a minimal generator of $I\rangle$. Each of these considerations make a natural one-to-one correspondence between the class of squarefree monomial ideals in S and the class of simplicial complexes on $\{x_1, \ldots, x_n\}$. Thus simplicial complexes play an important role in the study monomial ideals. In this regard classifying simplicial complexes with a desired property or making modifications to a structure like a graph or a simplicial complex so that it satisfies a special property, has been considered in many research papers, see for example [1, 5, 6, 7, 9, 10, 14, 20].

The notion of expansion of a simplicial complex was defined in [14] as a natural generalization of the concept of expansion in graph theory and some properties of a simplicial complex and its expansions were related to each other. Our goal in this paper is to investigate more relations between algebraic properties of the Stanley-Reisner ideal of a simplicial complex and those of its expansions, which generalize the results proved in [14]. It turns out that many algebraic and combinatorial properties of a simplicial complex and its expansions are equivalent and so this construction is a very good tool to make new simplicial complexes with a desired property.

The paper is organized as follows. In the first section, we review some preliminaries which are needed in the sequel. In Section 2, we study the Stanley-Reisner ideal of an expanded complex. One of the main results is the following theorem.

Theorem 1. *(Theorem 2.4) Let Δ be a simplicial complex and $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n$. Then Δ is Cohen-Macaulay if and only if Δ^α is Cohen-Macaulay.*

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 13F55, 13D02; Secondary 05E45.

Key words and phrases. simplicial complex, expansion functor, Stanley-Reisner ideal, facet ideal.

As a corollary, it is shown that sequentially Cohen-Macaulayness of a simplicial complex and its expansion are also equivalent.

Moreover, using an epimorphism which relates the reduced simplicial homology groups, we show that an expansion of Δ is Buchsbaum if and only if Δ has the same property (see Theorem 2.5). Theorem 2.13 (resp. Corollary 2.15) shows that Δ is k-decomposable (resp. shellable) if and only if an arbitrary expansion of Δ is k-decomposable (resp. shellable). Section 3 is devoted to studying some homological invariants of the Stanley-Reisner ideals of Δ and Δ^α. In fact, we give inequalities which relate the regularity, the projective dimension and the depth of a simplicial complex to those of its expansion.

1. Preliminaries

Throughout this paper, we assume that Δ is a simplicial complex on the vertex set $X = \{x_1, \ldots, x_n\}$, K is a field and $S = K[X]$ is a polynomial ring. The set of facets (maximal faces) of Δ is denoted by $\mathcal{F}(\Delta)$ and if $\mathcal{F}(\Delta) = \{F_1, \ldots, F_r\}$, we write $\Delta = \langle F_1, \ldots, F_r\rangle$. For a monomial ideal I of S, the set of minimal generators of I is denoted by $\mathcal{G}(I)$. For $\alpha = (s_1, \ldots, s_n) \in \mathbb{N}^n$, we set $X^\alpha = \{x_{11}, \ldots, x_{1s_1}, \ldots, x_{n1}, \ldots, x_{ns_n}\}$ and $S^\alpha = K[X^\alpha]$.

The concept of expansion of a simplicial complex was defined in [14] as follows.

Definition 1.1. Let Δ be a simplicial complex on X, $\alpha = (s_1, \ldots, s_n) \in \mathbb{N}^n$ and $F = \{x_{i_1}, \ldots, x_{i_r}\}$ be a facet of Δ. The **expansion** of the simplex $\langle F\rangle$ with respect to α is denoted by $\langle F\rangle^\alpha$ and is defined as a simplicial complex on the vertex set $\{x_{i_l t_l} : 1 \leq l \leq r,\ 1 \leq t_l \leq s_{i_l}\}$ with facets

$$\{\{x_{i_1 j_1}, \ldots, x_{i_r j_r}\} : 1 \leq j_m \leq s_{i_m}\}.$$

The expansion of Δ with respect to α is defined as

$$\Delta^\alpha = \bigcup_{F \in \Delta} \langle F\rangle^\alpha.$$

A simplicial complex obtained by an expansion, is called an expanded complex.

Definition 1.2. A simplicial complex Δ is called **shellable** if there exists an ordering $F_1 < \cdots < F_m$ on the facets of Δ such that for any $i < j$, there exists a vertex $v \in F_j \setminus F_i$ and $\ell < j$ with $F_j \setminus F_\ell = \{v\}$. We call $F_1, \ldots, F_m$ a **shelling** for Δ.

For a simplicial complex Δ and $F \in \Delta$, the link of F in Δ is defined as

$$\mathrm{link}_\Delta(F) = \{G \in \Delta : G \cap F = \emptyset, G \cup F \in \Delta\},$$

and the deletion of F is the simplicial complex

$$\Delta \setminus F = \{G \in \Delta : F \nsubseteq G\}.$$

Woodroofe in [20] extended the definition of k-decomposability to non-pure complexes as follows.

Let Δ be a simplicial complex on vertex set X. Then a face σ is called a **shedding face** if every face τ containing σ satisfies the following exchange property: for every $v \in \sigma$ there is $w \in X \setminus \tau$ such that $(\tau \cup \{w\}) \setminus \{v\}$ is a face of Δ.

Definition 1.3. [20, Definition 3.5] A simplicial complex Δ is recursively defined to be k**-decomposable** if either Δ is a simplex or else has a shedding face σ with $\dim(\sigma) \leq k$ such that both $\Delta \setminus \sigma$ and $\text{link}_\Delta(\sigma)$ are k-decomposable. The complexes $\{\}$ and $\{\emptyset\}$ are considered to be k-decomposable for all $k \geq -1$.

Note that 0-decomposable simplicial complexes are precisely vertex decomposable simplicial complexes.

Also the notion of a decomposable monomial ideal was introduced in [17] as follows. For the monomial $u = x_1^{a_1} \cdots x_n^{a_n}$ in S, the support of u denoted by $\text{supp}(u)$ is the set $\{x_i : \ a_i \neq 0\}$. For a monomial M in S, set $[u, M] = 1$ if for all $x_i \in \text{supp}(u)$, $x_i^{a_i} \nmid M$. Otherwise set $[u, M] \neq 1$.

For the monomial u and the monomial ideal I, set

$$I^u = (M \in \mathcal{G}(I) : \ [u, M] \neq 1)$$

and

$$I_u = (M \in \mathcal{G}(I) : \ [u, M] = 1).$$

For a monomial ideal I with $\mathcal{G}(I) = \{M_1, \ldots, M_r\}$, the monomial $u = x_1^{a_1} \cdots x_n^{a_n}$ is called a **shedding monomial** for I if $I_u \neq 0$ and for each $M_i \in \mathcal{G}(I_u)$ and each $x_l \in \text{supp}(u)$ there exists $M_j \in \mathcal{G}(I^u)$ such that $M_j : M_i = x_l$.

Definition 1.4. [17, Definition 2.3] A monomial ideal I with $\mathcal{G}(I) = \{M_1, \ldots, M_r\}$ is called k**-decomposable** if $r = 1$ or else has a shedding monomial u with $|\text{supp}(u)| \leq k + 1$ such that the ideals I_u and I^u are k-decomposable.

Definition 1.5. A monomial ideal I in the ring S has **linear quotients** if there exists an ordering $f_1, \ldots, f_m$ on the minimal generators of I such that the colon ideal $(f_1, \ldots, f_{i-1}) : (f_i)$ is generated by a subset of $\{x_1, \ldots, x_n\}$ for all $2 \leq i \leq m$. We show this ordering by $f_1 < \cdots < f_m$ and we call it an order of linear quotients on $\mathcal{G}(I)$.

Let I be a monomial ideal which has linear quotients and $f_1 < \cdots < f_m$ be an order of linear quotients on the minimal generators of I. For any $1 \leq i \leq m$, $\text{set}_I(f_i)$ is defined as

$$\text{set}_I(f_i) = \{x_k : \ x_k \in (f_1, \ldots, f_{i-1}) : (f_i)\}.$$

Definition 1.6. A graded S-module M is called **sequentially Cohen–Macaulay** (over a field K) if there exists a finite filtration of graded S-modules

$$0 = M_0 \subset M_1 \subset \cdots \subset M_r = M$$

such that each M_i/M_{i-1} is Cohen–Macaulay and

$$\dim(M_1/M_0) < \dim(M_2/M_1) < \cdots < \dim(M_r/M_{r-1}).$$

For a $\mathbb{Z}$-graded S-module M, the **Castelnuovo-Mumford regularity** (or briefly regularity) of M is defined as

$$\text{reg}(M) = \max\{j - i : \ \beta_{i,j}(M) \neq 0\},$$

and the **projective dimension** of M is defined as

$$\text{pd}(M) = \max\{i : \ \beta_{i,j}(M) \neq 0 \text{ for some } j\},$$

where $\beta_{i,j}(M)$ is the (i, j)th graded Betti number of M.

For a simplicial complex Δ with the vertex set X, the **Alexander dual simplicial complex** associated to Δ is defined as

$$\Delta^\vee =: \{X \setminus F : \ F \notin \Delta\}.$$

For a squarefree monomial ideal $I = (x_{11}\cdots x_{1n_1}, \ldots, x_{t1}\cdots x_{tn_t})$, the **Alexander dual ideal** of I, denoted by $I^\vee$, is defined as

$$I^\vee := (x_{11}, \ldots, x_{1n_1}) \cap \cdots \cap (x_{t1}, \ldots, x_{tn_t}).$$

For a subset $C \subseteq X$, by x^C we mean the monomial $\prod_{x\in C} x$. One can see that

$$(I_\Delta)^\vee = (x^{F^c} \ : \ F \in \mathcal{F}(\Delta)),$$

where I_Δ is the Stanley-Reisner ideal associated to Δ and $F^c = X \setminus F$. Moreover, $(I_\Delta)^\vee = I_{\Delta^\vee}$.

A simplicial complex Δ is called Cohen-Macaulay (resp. sequentially Cohen-Macaulay, Buchsbaum and Gorenstein), if its the Stanley Reisner ring $K[\Delta] = S/I_\Delta$ is Cohen-Macaulay (resp. sequentially Cohen-Macaulay, Buchsbaum and Gorenstein).

For a simplicial complex Δ, the facet ideal of Δ is defined as $I(\Delta) = (x^F : \ F \in \mathcal{F}(\Delta))$. Also the complement of Δ is the simplicial complex $\Delta^c = \langle F^c : \ F \in \mathcal{F}(\Delta)\rangle$. In fact $I(\Delta^c) = I_{\Delta^\vee}$.

2. Algebraic properties of an expanded complex

In this section, for a simplicial complex Δ, we study the Stanley-Reisner ideal I_{Δ^α} to see how its algebraic properties are related to those of I_Δ.

Proposition 2.1. *Let Δ be a simplicial complex on X and let $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n$.*

(i) $\dim(\Delta^\alpha) = \dim(\Delta)$ *and Δ is pure if and only if Δ^α is pure;*

(ii) *For $F \in \Delta$ and for every facet $G \in \langle F\rangle^\alpha$, we have*

$$\operatorname{link}_{\Delta^\alpha}(G) = (\operatorname{link}_\Delta(F))^\alpha;$$

(iii) *For all $i \leq \dim(\Delta)$, there exists an epimorphism $\theta : \tilde{H}_i(\Delta^\alpha; K) \to \tilde{H}_i(\Delta; K)$ and so*

$$\frac{\tilde{H}_i(\Delta^\alpha; K)}{\ker(\theta)} \cong \tilde{H}_i(\Delta; K).$$

Proof. (i) and (ii) are easily verified.

(iii) Let the map $\varphi : \Delta^\alpha \to \Delta$ be defined by $\varphi(\{x_{i_1j_1}, \ldots, x_{i_qj_q}\}) = \{x_{i_1}, \ldots, x_{i_q}\}$. For each q, let $\varphi_\# : \tilde{\mathcal{C}}_q(\Delta^\alpha; K) \to \tilde{\mathcal{C}}_q(\Delta; K)$ be a homomorphism defined on the basis elements as follows.

$$\varphi_\#([x_{i_0j_0}, \ldots, x_{i_qj_q}]) = \left[\varphi(\{x_{i_0j_0}\}), \ldots, \varphi(\{x_{i_qj_q}\})\right].$$

It is clear from the definitions of $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}_q(\Delta^\alpha; K)$ and $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}_q(\Delta; K)$ that $\varphi_\#$ is well-defined. Also, define $\varphi_q^\alpha : \tilde{H}_q(\Delta^\alpha; K) \to \tilde{H}_q(\Delta; K)$ by

$$\varphi_q^\alpha(z + B_q(\Delta^\alpha)) = \varphi_\#(z) + B_q(\Delta).$$

Consider the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \tilde{\mathcal{C}}_{q+1}(\Delta^\alpha; K) & \xrightarrow{\partial^\alpha_{q+1}} & \tilde{\mathcal{C}}_q(\Delta^\alpha; K) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \tilde{\mathcal{C}}_{q+1}(\Delta; K) & \xrightarrow{\partial_{q+1}} & \tilde{\mathcal{C}}_q(\Delta; K) \end{array}$$

where ∂^{α}_{q+1} and ∂_{q+1} are the homomorphism of the chain complexes of Δ^{α} and Δ, respectively and the vertical homomorphisms are φ^{α}_{q+1} and φ^{α}_{q}. One can see that for any basis element $\sigma = [x_{i_0j_0}, \ldots, x_{i_{q+1}j_{q+1}}] \in \tilde{\mathcal{C}}_{q+1}(\Delta^{\alpha}; K)$, $\varphi^{\alpha}_{q}\partial^{\alpha}_{q+1}(\sigma) = \partial_{q+1}\varphi^{\alpha}_{q+1}(\sigma)$. So the diagram commutes and then

$$\varphi^{\alpha}_{q}(B_q(\Delta^{\alpha})) \subseteq B_q(\Delta).$$

Therefore φ^{α}_{q} is a well-defined homomorphism.

It is easy to see that φ^{α}_{q} is surjective, because for $[x_{i_0}, \ldots, x_{i_q}] + B_q(\Delta) \in \tilde{H}_q(\Delta; K)$ we have

$$\varphi^{\alpha}_{q}([x_{i_01}, \ldots, x_{i_q1}] + B_q(\Delta^{\alpha})) = [x_{i_0}, \ldots, x_{i_q}] + B_q(\Delta).$$

□

Reisner gave a criterion for the Cohen-Macaulayness of a simplicial complex as follows.

Theorem 2.2. [19, Theorem 5.3.5] *Let Δ be a simplicial complex. If K is a field, then the following conditions are equivalent:*

(i) *Δ is Cohen-Macaulay over K;*
(ii) *$\tilde{H}_i(\mathrm{link}_{\Delta}(F); K) = 0$ for $F \in \Delta$ and $i < \dim(\mathrm{link}_{\Delta}(F))$.*

For a simplicial complex Δ with the vertex set X and $x_i \in X$, an expansion of Δ obtained by duplicating x_i is the simplicial complex with the vertex set $X' = X \cup \{x'_i\}$, where x'_i is a new vertex, defined as follows

$$\Delta' = \Delta \cup \langle (F \setminus \{x_i\}) \cup \{x'_i\} : \ F \in \mathcal{F}(\Delta), x_i \in F \rangle.$$

In fact $\Delta' = \Delta^{(s_1,\ldots,s_n)}$, where $s_j = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } j \neq i \\ 2 & \text{if } j = i. \end{cases}$

Remark 2.3. Let $\mathcal{L}$ be a property such that for any simplicial complex Δ with the property $\mathcal{L}$, any expansion of Δ obtained by duplicating a vertex of Δ has the property $\mathcal{L}$. Then by induction for a simplicial complex Δ with the property $\mathcal{L}$ any expansion of Δ has the property $\mathcal{L}$, since for any $(s_1, \ldots, s_n) \in \mathbb{N}^n$, $\Delta^{(s_1,\ldots,s_n)}$ is the expansion of $\Delta^{(s_1,\ldots,s_{i-1},s_i-1,s_{i+1},\ldots,s_n)}$ by duplicating x_{i1}.

Now, we come to one of the main results of this paper.

Theorem 2.4. *Let Δ be a simplicial complex and $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n$. Then Δ is Cohen-Macaulay if and only if Δ^{α} is Cohen-Macaulay.*

Proof. The 'if' part follows from Proposition 2.1 and Theorem 2.2. To prove the converse, let Δ be Cohen-Macaulay. In the light of Remark 2.3, it is enough to show that any expansion of Δ obtained by duplication an arbitrary vertex, is Cohen-Macaulay. Let X be the vertex set of Δ, $x_i \in X$ and Δ' be an expansion of Δ by duplicating x_i. Then $\Delta' = \Delta \cup \langle (F \setminus \{x_i\}) \cup \{x'_i\} : \ F \in \mathcal{F}(\Delta), x_i \in F \rangle$ and

(1)

$$I_{\Delta'^{\vee}} = (x^{(X \cup \{x'_i\}) \setminus F} : \ F \in \mathcal{F}(\Delta')) = (x'_i x^{X \setminus F} : \ F \in \mathcal{F}(\Delta)) + (x^{(X \cup \{x'_i\}) \setminus (F \cup \{x'_i\} \setminus \{x_i\})} : \ F \in \mathcal{F}(\Delta), x_i \in F) = (x'_i x^{X \setminus F} : \ F \in \mathcal{F}(\Delta)) + (x_i x^{X \setminus F} : \ F \in \mathcal{F}(\Delta), x_i \in F).$$

Thus

$$I_{\Delta'^{\vee}} = x'_i I_{\Delta^{\vee}} + x_i I_{(\mathrm{link}_{\Delta}(x_i))^{\vee}}.$$

Since Δ is Cohen-Macaulay, by [19, Proposition 5.3.8], $\mathrm{link}_\Delta(x_i)$ is also Cohen-Macaulay. So [5, Theorem 3] implies that $I_{\Delta^\vee}$ and $I_{(\mathrm{link}_\Delta(x_i))^\vee}$ have $(n-d-1)$-linear resolutions, where $d=\dim(\Delta)$. Therefore $x_i'I_{\Delta^\vee}$ and $x_iI_{(\mathrm{link}_\Delta(x_i))^\vee}$ have $(n-d)$-linear resolutions. Note that $\mathcal{G}(I_{\Delta'^\vee})$ is the disjoint union of $\mathcal{G}(x_i'I_{\Delta^\vee})$ and $\mathcal{G}(x_iI_{(\mathrm{link}_\Delta(x_i))^\vee})$. Now by [8, Corollary 2.4], $I_{\Delta'^\vee}=x_i'I_{\Delta^\vee}+x_iI_{(\mathrm{link}_\Delta(x_i))^\vee}$ is a Betti splitting and hence by [8, Corollary 2.2],

$$\mathrm{reg}(I_{\Delta'^\vee})=\max\{\mathrm{reg}(x_i'I_{\Delta^\vee}),\mathrm{reg}(x_iI_{(\mathrm{link}_\Delta(x_i))^\vee}),\mathrm{reg}(x_i'I_{\Delta^\vee}\cap x_iI_{(\mathrm{link}_\Delta(x_i))^\vee})-1\}.$$

As discussed above, $\mathrm{reg}(x_i'I_{\Delta^\vee})=\mathrm{reg}(x_iI_{(\mathrm{link}_\Delta(x_i))^\vee})=n-d$. Note that $x_i'I_{\Delta^\vee}\cap x_iI_{(\mathrm{link}_\Delta(x_i))^\vee}=(x_i'x^{X\setminus F}:\ F\in\mathcal{F}(\Delta))\cap(x_ix^{X\setminus F}:\ F\in\mathcal{F}(\Delta),x_i\in F)=x_i'x_iI_{(\mathrm{link}_\Delta(x_i))^\vee}$. So $\mathrm{reg}(x_i'I_{\Delta^\vee}\cap x_iI_{(\mathrm{link}_\Delta(x_i))^\vee})=\mathrm{reg}(I_{(\mathrm{link}_\Delta(x_i))^\vee})+2=n-d-1+2=n-d+1$. Thus $\mathrm{reg}(I_{\Delta'^\vee})=n-d$. Since $I_{\Delta'^\vee}$ is homogenous of degree $n-d$, this implies that $I_{\Delta'^\vee}$ has a $(n-d)$-linear resolution (see for example [13, Lemma 5.55]). Thus using again [5, Theorem 3] implies that Δ' is Cohen-Macaulay. □

The following theorem compares Buchsbaumness in a simplicial complex and its expansion.

Theorem 2.5. *Let Δ be a simplicial complex on X and let $\alpha\in\mathbb{N}^n$. Then Δ is Buchsbaum if and only if Δ^α is.*

Proof. The "if" part follows from Proposition 2.1 and [18, Theorem 8.1]. Let Δ be Buchsbaum. In the light of Remark 2.3, it is enough to show that any expansion of Δ obtained by duplication an arbitrary vertex, is Buchsbaum. Let Δ' be the expansion of Δ obtained by duplicating the vertex x_i. By [2, Theorem 4.5], a simplicial complex Δ is Buchsbaum if and only if Δ is pure and for any vertex $x\in X$, $\mathrm{link}_\Delta(x)$ is Cohen-Macaulay. We use this fact to prove the assertion.

Since $\mathrm{link}_{\Delta'}(x_i)=\mathrm{link}_{\Delta'}(x_i')=\mathrm{link}_\Delta(x_i)$, it follows from Buchsbaum-ness of Δ that $\mathrm{link}_{\Delta'}(x_i)$ and $\mathrm{link}_{\Delta'}(x_i')$ are Cohen-Macaulay. Now suppose that $x_j\in X'=X\cup\{x_i'\}$ with $x_j\neq x_i$ and $x_j\neq x_i'$. Then $\mathrm{link}_{\Delta'}(x_j)$ is the expansion of $\mathrm{link}_\Delta(x_j)$ obtained by duplication of x_i. Since $\mathrm{link}_\Delta(x_j)$ is Cohen-Macaulay it follows from Theorem 2.4 that $\mathrm{link}_{\Delta'}(x_j)$ is Cohen-Macaulay. Therefore the assertion holds. □

Now, we study the sequentially Cohen-Macaulay property in an expanded complex. For a simplicial complex Δ and a subcomplex Γ of Δ, $\Delta/\Gamma=\{F\in\Delta:\ F\notin\Gamma\}$ is called a **relative simplicial complex**.

Lemma 2.6. *Let Δ be a $(d-1)$-dimensional simplicial complex, $\alpha\in\mathbb{N}^n$ and Δ_i be the subcomplex of Δ generated by the i-dimensional facets of Δ. Set*

$$\Omega_i=\Delta_i/(\Delta_i\cap(\cup_{j>i}\Delta_j)).$$

Then for all $0\leq i\leq d-1$, $\Omega_i^\alpha=\Delta_i^\alpha/(\Delta_i^\alpha\cap(\cup_{j>i}\Delta_i^\alpha))$.

Proof. The assertion follows from the fact that if Γ_1 and Γ_2 are two simplicial complexes on X and $\alpha\in\mathbb{N}^n$ then

$$(\Gamma_1\cup\Gamma_2)^\alpha=\Gamma_1^\alpha\cup\Gamma_2^\alpha,\qquad(\Gamma_1\cap\Gamma_2)^\alpha=\Gamma_1^\alpha\cap\Gamma_2^\alpha.$$

□

Theorem 2.7. *([18, Proposition 2.10]) Let Δ be a $(d-1)$-dimensional simplicial complex on X. Then Δ is sequentially Cohen-Macaulay if and only if the relative simplicial complexes Ω_i (defined in Lemma 2.6) are Cohen-Macaulay for $0\leq i\leq d-1$.*

Corollary 2.8. *Let Δ be a simplicial complex and let $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n$. Then Δ is sequentially Cohen-Macaulay if and only if Δ^α is sequentially Cohen-Macaulay.*

Proof. Combining Theorem 2.7 and Lemma 2.6 with Theorem 2.4 we obtain the assertion. □

For $F \in \Delta$, set $\operatorname{star}(F) = \{G \in \Delta : F \cup G \in \Delta\}$. Let Γ_Δ be the induced subcomplex of Δ on the set $\operatorname{core}(X)$ where $\operatorname{core}(X) = \{x_i \in X : \operatorname{star}(\{x_i\}) \neq \Delta\}$. A combinatorial description of Gorenstein simplicial complexes was given in [18]. It was proved that the simplicial complex Δ is Gorenstein over a field K if and only if for all $F \in \Gamma_\Delta$, $\tilde{H}_i(\operatorname{link}_{\Gamma_\Delta}(F); K) \cong K$ if $i = \dim(\operatorname{link}_{\Gamma_\Delta}(F))$ and $\tilde{H}_i(\operatorname{link}_{\Gamma_\Delta}(F); K)$ is vanished if $i < (\operatorname{link}_{\Gamma_\Delta}(F))$ (see Theorem 5.1 of [18]).

For a face $F \in \Delta^\alpha$, we set $\bar{F} = \{x_i : x_{ij} \in F \text{ for some } j\}$.

Lemma 2.9. *Let Δ be a simplicial complex with $\Delta = \Gamma_\Delta$ and let $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n$. Then $\Gamma_{\Delta^\alpha} = (\Gamma_\Delta)^\alpha$.*

Proof. Let F be a facet of Γ_{Δ^α}. Then $F \in \Delta^\alpha$ and $F \subset \operatorname{core}(X^\alpha)$. It is clear that $\bar{F} \in \Delta = \Gamma_\Delta$. So $F \in (\Gamma_\Delta)^\alpha$.

Conversely, suppose that $F \in (\Gamma_\Delta)^\alpha$. Then $F \in G^\alpha$ for some $G \in \Gamma_\Delta$. Hence $G \in \Delta$ and $G \subset \operatorname{core}(X)$. This implies that for every $x_i \in G$, $\operatorname{star}(\{x_i\}) \neq \Delta$. Thus for every $x_i \in G$ and all $1 \leq j \leq k_i$, $\operatorname{star}(\{x_{ij}\}) \neq \Delta^\alpha$. Therefore $F \subset \operatorname{core}(X^\alpha)$. Finally, it follows from $F \in \Delta^\alpha$ that $F \in \Gamma_{\Delta^\alpha}$. □

Theorem 2.10. *Let Δ be a simplicial complex with $\Delta = \Gamma_\Delta$ and let $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n$. If Δ^α is Gorenstein then Δ is Gorenstein, too.*

Proof. The assertion follows from Lemma 2.9, Proposition 2.1 and [18, Theorem 5.1]. □

Remark 2.11. The converse of Theorem 2.10 does not hold in general. To see this fact we first recall a result from [4] which implies the necessary and sufficient conditions for a simplicial complex to be Gorenstein.

By [4, Theorem 5.6.2] for a simplicial complex Δ with $\Delta = \Gamma_\Delta$, Δ is Gorenstein over a field K if and only if Δ is an Euler complex which is Cohen-Macaulay over K. Recall that the simplicial complex Δ of dimension d is an **Euler complex** if Δ is pure and $\widetilde{\chi}(\operatorname{link}_\Delta(F)) = (-1)^{\dim \operatorname{link}_\Delta(F)}$ for all $F \in \Delta$ where $\widetilde{\chi}(\Delta) = \sum_{i=0}^{d-1}(-1)^i f_i(\Delta) - 1$.

Now, consider the simplicial complex $\Delta = \langle x_1x_2, x_1x_3, x_2x_3\rangle$ on $\{x_1, x_2, x_3\}$ and let $\alpha = (2, 1, 1)$. Then $\Delta = \Gamma_\Delta$ and $\Delta^\alpha = \Gamma_{\Delta^\alpha}$. It is easy to check that Δ is Euler. Also, Δ is Cohen-Macaulay and so it is Gorenstein. On the other hand, $\widetilde{\chi}(\operatorname{link}_{\Delta^\alpha}(\{x_2\})) = 2 \neq 1 = (-1)^{\dim \operatorname{link}_{\Delta^\alpha}(\{x_2\})}$. It follows that Δ^α is not Euler and so it is not Gorenstein.

The following theorem was proved in [17].

Theorem 2.12. [17, Theorem 2.10] *A simplicial complex Δ is k-decomposable if and only if $I_{\Delta^\vee}$ is a k-decomposable ideal, where $k \leq \dim(\Delta)$.*

We use the above theorem to prove the following result, which generalizes [14, Theorem 2.7].

Theorem 2.13. *Let Δ be a simplicial complex and $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n$. The Δ is k-decomposable if and only if Δ^α is k-decomposable.*

Proof. "Only if part": Considering Remark 2.3, it is enough to show that an expansion of Δ obtained by duplicating one vertex, is k-decomposable. Let X be the vertex set of Δ, $x_i \in X$ and Δ' be an expansion of Δ by duplicating x_i. Then $\Delta' = \Delta \cup \langle (F \setminus \{x_i\}) \cup \{x_i'\} : \ F \in \mathcal{F}(\Delta), x_i \in F \rangle$. As was shown in the proof of Theorem 2.4,

$$I_{\Delta'^\vee} = x_i' I_{\Delta^\vee} + x_i I_{(\mathrm{link}_\Delta(x_i))^\vee}.$$

It is easy to see that $(I_{\Delta'^\vee})_{x_i'} = x_i I_{(\mathrm{link}_\Delta(x_i))^\vee}$ and $(I_{\Delta'^\vee})^{x_i'} = x_i' I_{\Delta^\vee}$. Now, let Δ be k-decomposable. Then by [20, Proposition 3.7], $\mathrm{link}_\Delta(x_i)$ is k-decomposable. Thus [17, Theorem 2.10, Lemma 2.6] imply that $x_i' I_{\Delta^\vee}$ and $x_i I_{(\mathrm{link}_\Delta(x_i))^\vee}$ are k-decomposable ideals. Also for any minimal generator $x_i x^{X\setminus F} \in (I_{\Delta'^\vee})_{x_i'}$, we have $(x_i' x^{X\setminus F} : x_i x^{X\setminus F}) = (x_i')$. Thus x_i' is a shedding face of Δ'. Clearly $\dim(x_i') \leq k$. Thus $I_{\Delta'^\vee}$ is a k-decomposable ideal. So using again [17, Theorem 2.10] yields that Δ' is k-decomposable.

"If part": Let Δ' be an expansion of Δ obtained by duplicating one vertex x_i. We first show that if Δ' is k-decomposable then Δ is k-decomposable, too.

If $\mathcal{F}(\Delta) = \{F\}$ then the expansion of Δ obtained by duplicating one vertex x_i is $\Delta' = \langle x_i, x_i' \rangle * \langle F \setminus x_i \rangle$ and so it is k-decomposable,by Proposition 3.8 of [20]. Hence suppose that Δ has more than one facet. Let σ be a shedding face of Δ' and let $\mathrm{link}_{\Delta'}\sigma$ and $\Delta'\setminus\sigma$ are k-decomposable. We have two cases:

Case 1. Let $x_i' \in \sigma$. Then $\Delta = \Delta'\setminus\sigma$ and so Δ is k-decomposble. Similarly, if $x_i \in \sigma$ then $\Delta'\setminus\sigma$ and Δ are isomorphic and we are done.

Case 2. Let $x_i \notin \sigma$ and $x_i' \notin \sigma$. Then $\mathrm{link}_{\Delta'}\sigma$ and $\Delta'\setminus\sigma$ are, respectively, the expansions of $\mathrm{link}_\Delta\sigma$ and $\Delta\setminus\sigma$ obtained by duplicating x_i. Therefore it follows from induction that $\mathrm{link}_\Delta\sigma$ and $\Delta\setminus\sigma$ are k-decomposable. Also, it is trivial that σ is a shedding face of Δ.

Now suppose that $\alpha = (k_1, \ldots, k_n) \in \mathbb{N}^n$ and Δ^α is k-decomposable. Let $k_i > 1$ an set $\beta = (k_1, \ldots, k_{i-1}, k_i - 1, k_{i+1}, \ldots, k_n)$. Then Δ^α is the expansion of Δ^β and by above assertion, if Δ^α is k-decomposable then Δ^β is k-decomposable, too. In particular, it follows by induction that Δ is k-decomposable, as desired. □

The following theorem which was proved in [20], relates the shellability of a simplicial complex to d-decomposability of it.

Theorem 2.14. [20, Theorem 3.6] *A d-dimensional simplicial complex Δ is shellable if and only if it is d-decomposable.*

Using Theorems 2.13 and 2.14, we get the following corollaries.

Corollary 2.15. *Let Δ be a simplicial complex and $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n$. Then Δ is shellable if and only if Δ^α is shellable.*

Corollary 2.16. *Let Δ be a simplicial complex and $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n$. Then I_Δ is clean if and only if I_{Δ^α} is clean.*

3. Homological invariants of the Stanley-Reisner ideal of an expanded complex

In this section, we compare the regularity, the projective dimension and the depth of the Stanley-Reisner rings of a simplicial complex and its expansions. The following theorem, gives an upper bound for the regularity of the Stanley-Reisner ideal of an expanded complex in terms of $\mathrm{reg}(I_\Delta)$.

Theorem 3.1. *Let Δ be a simplicial complex and $\alpha = (s_1, \ldots, s_n) \in \mathbb{N}^n$. Then $\operatorname{reg}(I_{\Delta^\alpha}) \leq \operatorname{reg}(I_\Delta) + r$, where $r = |\{i : s_i > 1\}|$.*

Proof. It is enough to show that for any $1 \leq i \leq n$,

$$\operatorname{reg}(I_{\Delta^{(1,\ldots,1,s_i,1,\ldots,1)}}) \leq \operatorname{reg}(I_\Delta) + 1. \tag{2}$$

Then from the equality $\Delta^{(s_1,\ldots,s_n)} = (\Delta^{(s_1,\ldots,s_{n-1},1)})^{(1,\ldots,1,s_n)}$, we have

$$\operatorname{reg}(I_{\Delta^{(s_1,\ldots,s_n)}}) \leq \operatorname{reg}(I_{\Delta^{(s_1,\ldots,s_{n-1},1)}}) + 1,$$

and one can get the result by induction on n.

To prove (2), we proceed by induction on s_i. First we show that the inequality $\operatorname{reg}(I_{\Delta'}) \leq \operatorname{reg}(I_\Delta) + 1$ holds for any expansion of Δ obtained by duplicating a vertex. Let X be the vertex set of Δ, $x_i \in X$ and Δ' be an expansion of Δ by duplicating x_i on the vertex set $X' = X \cup \{x_i'\}$. Then $\Delta' = \Delta \cup \langle (F \setminus \{x_i\}) \cup \{x_i'\} : F \in \mathcal{F}(\Delta), x_i \in F \rangle$. For a subset $Y \subseteq X$, let $P_Y = (x_i : \ x_i \in Y)$. By [19, Proposition 5.3.10],

$$I_{\Delta'} = \bigcap_{F \in \mathcal{F}(\Delta')} P_{X' \setminus F} = \bigcap_{F \in \mathcal{F}(\Delta)} (P_{X \setminus F} + (x_i')) \bigcap \Big(\bigcap_{F \in \mathcal{F}(\Delta), x_i \in F} P_{X' \setminus ((F \setminus \{x_i\}) \cup \{x_i'\})} \Big) =$$

$$((x_i') + \bigcap_{F \in \mathcal{F}(\Delta)} P_{X \setminus F}) \bigcap ((x_i) + \bigcap_{F \in \mathcal{F}(\Delta), x_i \in F} P_{X \setminus F}).$$

So

$$I_{\Delta'} = (x_i x_i') + I_\Delta + x_i' I_{\operatorname{link}_\Delta(x_i)}. \tag{3}$$

Let $S' = S[x_i']$ and consider the following short exact sequence of graded modules

$$0 \longrightarrow S'/(I_{\Delta'} : x_i')(-1) \longrightarrow S'/I_{\Delta'} \longrightarrow S'/(I_{\Delta'}, x_i') \longrightarrow 0.$$

By equation (3), one can see that $(I_{\Delta'}, x_i') = (I_\Delta, x_i')$ and $(I_{\Delta'} : x_i') = (I_{\operatorname{link}_\Delta(x_i)}, x_i)$. So we have the exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow (S'/(x_i, I_{\operatorname{link}_\Delta(x_i)}))(-1) \longrightarrow S'/I_{\Delta'} \longrightarrow S'/(x_i', I_\Delta) \longrightarrow 0.$$

Thus by [16, Lemma 3.12, Lemma 3.5],

$$\operatorname{reg}(S'/I_{\Delta'}) \leq \max\{\operatorname{reg}(S/I_{\operatorname{link}_\Delta(x_i)}) + 1, \operatorname{reg}(S/I_\Delta)\}. \tag{4}$$

By [11, Lemma 2.5], $\operatorname{reg}(S/I_{\operatorname{link}_\Delta(x_i)}) \leq \operatorname{reg}(S/I_\Delta)$. So $\operatorname{reg}(S'/I_{\Delta'}) \leq \operatorname{reg}(S/I_\Delta) + 1$ or equivalently $\operatorname{reg}(I_{\Delta'}) \leq \operatorname{reg}(I_\Delta) + 1$. Note that $\Delta^{(1,\ldots,1,s_i,1,\ldots,1)}$ is obtained from $\Delta^{(1,\ldots,1,s_i-1,1,\ldots,1)}$ by duplicating x_i. Thus by (4),

$$\operatorname{reg}(I_{\Delta^{(1,\ldots,1,s_i,1,\ldots,1)}}) \leq \max\{\operatorname{reg}(I_{\operatorname{link}_{\Delta^{(1,\ldots,1,s_i-1,1,\ldots,1)}}(x_i)}) + 1, \operatorname{reg}(I_{\Delta^{(1,\ldots,1,s_i-1,1,\ldots,1)}})\}.$$

But $\operatorname{link}_{\Delta^{(1,\ldots,1,s_i-1,1,\ldots,1)}}(x_i) = \operatorname{link}_\Delta(x_i)$. Also by induction hypothesis $\operatorname{reg}(I_{\Delta^{(1,\ldots,1,s_i-1,1,\ldots,1)}}) \leq \operatorname{reg}(I_\Delta) + 1$. Again using [11, Lemma 2.5], we get the result. □

The next result which generalizes [14, Theorem 3.1] explains $\operatorname{pd}(S^\alpha/I_{\Delta^\alpha})$ in terms of $\operatorname{pd}(S/I_\Delta)$ for a sequentially Cohen-Macaulay simplicial complex.

Theorem 3.2. *Let Δ be a sequentially Cohen-Macaulay simplicial complex on X and $\alpha = (s_1, \ldots, s_n)$. Then*

$$\operatorname{pd}(S^\alpha/I_{\Delta^\alpha}) = \operatorname{pd}(S/I_\Delta) + s_1 + \cdots + s_n - n$$

and

$$\operatorname{depth}(S^\alpha/I_{\Delta^\alpha}) = \operatorname{depth}(S/I_\Delta).$$

Proof. By Corollary 2.8, Δ^α is sequentially Cohen-Macaulay too. Thus by [16, Corollary 3.33],

$$\operatorname{pd}(S^\alpha/I_{\Delta^\alpha}) = \operatorname{bight}(I_{\Delta^\alpha})$$

and

$$\operatorname{pd}(S/I_\Delta) = \operatorname{bight}(I_\Delta).$$

Let $t = \min\{|F| : \ F \in \mathcal{F}(\Delta)\}$. Then $\min\{|F| : \ F \in \mathcal{F}(\Delta^\alpha)\} = t$, $\operatorname{bight}(I_\Delta) = n-t$ and

$$\operatorname{bight}(I_{\Delta^\alpha}) = |X^\alpha| - t = s_1 + \cdots + s_n - t = s_1 + \cdots + s_n + \operatorname{pd}(S/I_\Delta) - n.$$

The second equality holds by Auslander-Buchsbaum formula. Note that $\operatorname{depth}(S^\alpha) = s_1 + \cdots + s_n$. □

Let $\mathfrak{m}$ and $\mathfrak{n}$ be, respectively, the maximal ideals of S and S^α.

Theorem 3.3. *(Hochster [12]) Let $\mathbb{Z}^n_- = \{\mathbf{a} \in \mathbb{Z}^n : a_i \leq 0$ for $i = 1, \ldots, n\}$. Then*

$$\dim H^i_{\mathfrak{m}}(K[\Delta])_{\mathbf{a}} = \begin{cases} \dim \tilde{H}_{i-|F|-1}(\operatorname{link}_\Delta(F); K), & \text{if } \mathbf{a} \in \mathbb{Z}^n_-, \text{ where } F = \operatorname{supp}(\mathbf{a}) \\ 0, & \text{if } \mathbf{a} \notin \mathbb{Z}^n_-. \end{cases}$$

Theorem 3.4. *Let Δ be a simplicial complex on X and $\alpha = (s_1, \ldots, s_n)$. Then $\operatorname{depth}(K[\Delta^\alpha]) \leq \operatorname{depth}(K[\Delta])$. It follows that $\operatorname{pd}(S^\alpha/I_{\Delta^\alpha}) \geq \operatorname{pd}(S/I_\Delta) + s_1 + \cdots + s_n - n$.*

Proof. Set $s = \sum_i s_i$. For $\mathbf{a} \in \mathbb{Z}^k$, set $s_0 = 0$ and for $1 \leq i \leq n$ set $\bar{\mathbf{a}}(i) = \sum_{j=s_{i-1}+1}^{s_i} \mathbf{a}(j)$. Let $\mathbf{a} \in \mathbb{Z}^s_-$ and $F = \operatorname{supp}(\mathbf{a})$. It follows from Theorem 3.3 that $\dim H^i_{\mathfrak{n}}(K[\Delta^\alpha])_{\mathbf{a}} = \dim \tilde{H}_{i-|F|-1}(\operatorname{link}_{\Delta^\alpha}(F); K)$.

On the other hand by [3, Theorem 6.2.7] $\operatorname{depth}(K[\Delta])$ is the least integer i such that $H^i_{\mathfrak{m}}(K[\Delta]) \neq 0$. Now, if $\operatorname{depth}(K[\Delta^\alpha]) = d$, then $\tilde{H}_{d-|F|-1}(\operatorname{link}_{\Delta^\alpha}(F); K) \neq 0$ and $\tilde{H}_{i-|F|-1}(\operatorname{link}_{\Delta^\alpha}(F); K) \neq 0$ for any $i < d$. By Proposition 2.1, one can see that $\dim \tilde{H}_{i-|\bar{F}|-1}(\operatorname{link}_\Delta(\bar{F}); K) = \dim H^i_{\mathfrak{m}}(K[\Delta])_{\bar{\mathbf{a}}} = 0$ for any $i < d$. This obtains the assertion.

The second inequality holds by Auslander-Buchsbaum formula. □

Acknowledgments: The authors would like to thank the referee for careful reading of the paper. The research of Rahim Rahmati-Asghar and Somayeh Moradi were in part supported by a grant from IPM (No. 94130029) and (No. 94130021).

References

[1] S. Bayati, J. Herzog, *Expansions of monomial ideals and multigraded modules*, To appear in Rocky Mountain J. Math.

[2] L. J. Billera and L. L. Rose, *Modules of piecewise polynomials and their freeness.* Math. Z. **209** (1992), 485-497.

[3] M. Brodmann, R.Y, Sharp, *Local cohomology: an algebraic introduction with geometric applications.* Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics **60**, Cambridge University Press (1998).

[4] W. Bruns, J. Herzog, *Cohen-Macaulay rings.* Revised edition. Cambridge University Press (1998).

[5] J. A. Eagon, V. Reiner, *Resolutions of Stanley-Reisner rings and Alexander duality.* J. Pure Appl. Algebra **130** (1998), no. 3, 265-275.

[6] S. Faridi, *Simplicial trees are sequentially Cohen-Macaulay.* J. Pure Appl. Algebra 190 (2004), no. 1-3, 121–136.

[7] C. A. Francisco, H. T. Hà, *Whiskers and sequentially Cohen-Macaulay graphs.* J. Combin. Theory Ser. A 115 (2008), no. 2, 304-316.

[8] C. A. Francisco, H. T. Hà; A. Van Tuyl, *Splittings of monomial ideals.* Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 137 (2009), no. 10, 3271–3282.
[9] C. A. Francisco, A. Van Tuyl, *Sequentially Cohen-Macaulay edge ideals.* Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 135 (2007), 2327-2337.
[10] H. T. Hà, S. Morey, R. H. Villarreal, *Cohen-Macaulay admissible clutters.* J. Commut. Algebra 1 (2009), No. 3, 463–480.
[11] H. T. Hà, R. Woodroofe, *Results on the regularity of square-free monomial ideals.* Adv. Appl. Math 58 (2014) 21-36.
[12] J. Herzog, T. Hibi, *Monomial ideals*, Springer, (2011).
[13] E. Miller, B. Strumfels, *Combinatorial Commutative Algebra*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 2005.
[14] S. Moradi, F. Khoshahang, *Expansion of a simplicial complex*, Journal of Algebra and Its Applications, Vol. 15 (2016) no. 1, 1-15.
[15] S. Moradi, F. Khoshahang, *On vertex decomposable simplicial complexes and their Alexander duals*, To appear in Math. Scand.
[16] S. Morey, R. H. Villarreal, *Edge ideals: algebraic and combinatorial properties.* Progress in Commutative Algebra, Combinatorics and Homology, Vol. 1 (C. Francisco, L. C. Klingler, S. Sather-Wagstaff and J. C. Vassilev, Eds.), De Gruyter, Berlin, 2012, pp. 85-126.
[17] R. Rahmati-Asghar, S. Yassemi, *k-decomposable monomial ideals*, Algebra Colloq. **22**, 745-756 (2015).
[18] R.P. Stanley, *Combinatorics and commutative algebra*, Second Edition., Birkhäuser (1996).
[19] R. H. Villarreal, *Monomial Algebras.* Monographs and Textbooks in Pure and Applied Mathematics 238, Marcel Dekker, New York, 2001.
[20] R. Woodroofe, *Chordal and sequentially Cohen-Macaulay clutters.* Electron. J. Combin. 18 (2011), no. 1, Paper 208, 20 pp.

Rahim Rahmati-Asghar,
Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Basic Sciences, University of Maragheh, P. O. Box 55181-83111, Maragheh, Iran and School of Mathematics, Institute for Research in Fundamental Sciences (IPM), P.O.Box 19395-5746, Tehran, Iran.
E-mail: rahmatiasghar.r@gmail.com

Somayeh Moradi,
Department of Mathematics, Ilam University, P.O.Box 69315-516, Ilam, Iran and School of Mathematics, Institute for Research in Fundamental Sciences (IPM), P.O.Box 19395-5746, Tehran, Iran. E-mail: somayeh.moradi1@gmail.com